UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/565,020	01/18/2006	Jon Loren Collins	PR60235USw	4122
23347 7590 09/17/2007 GLAXOSMITHKLINE CORPORATE INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY, MAI B475			EXAMINER	
			STEADMAN, DAVID J	
	FIVE MOORE DR., PO BOX 13398 RESEARCH TRIANGLE PARK, NC 27709-3398		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1656	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/17/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Annticont(a)			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Astron	10/565,020	COLLINS ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	David J. Steadman	1656			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with	h the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 136(a). In no event, however, may a rep will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT e, cause the application to become ABA	ATION. ply be timely filed 'HS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 J	anuary 2006.				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under the	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 44-50 and 121 is/are pending in the	•	·			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.		•			
7) Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
8) Claim(s) 44-50 and 121 are subject to restricti	ion and/or election requirem	nent.			
	•				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	· ·				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	• ,				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-			
· ·					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a) All b) Some * c) None of:	to have been received				
1. Certified copies of the priority documen		onligation No			
2. Certified copies of the priority documen3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority	·				
application from the International Burea	•	received in this Wational Gtage			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list		eceived.			
	•				
Attachment(s)		•			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview St	ummary (PTO-413)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s))/Mail Date formal Patent Application			
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:				

DETAILED ACTION

Status of the Application

- [1] Claims 44-50 and 121 are pending in the application.
- [2] Applicant's preliminary amendment to the claims, filed on 1/18/06, is acknowledged. This listing of the claims replaces all prior versions and listings of the claims.
- [3] Applicant's preliminary amendment to the abstract and specification, filed on 1/18/06, are acknowledged.

Lack of Unity

[4] Lack of unity is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372. This application contains the following inventions or goups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 44-50, drawn to the special technical feature of a method of screening a plurality of compounds for a ligand of a constitutive androstane receptor (CAR) polypeptide.

Group II, claim(s) 121, drawn to the special technical feature of a compound of formula A.

[5] The technical feature linking the inventions of Groups I-II is Compound 1 (having formula A) as shown in claim 121. The inventions listed as Groups I-II do not relate to a

Application/Control Number: 10/565,020 Page 3

Art Unit: 1656

single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical feature for the following reason(s): According to PCT Rule 13.2 unity of invention exists only when there is a shared same or corresponding special technical feature among the claimed inventions. The method of Group I shares no special technical feature with the compound of Group II as the method of Group I, e.g., claim 1, encompasses crystalline CAR polypeptides in complex with ligands other than Compound 1. As such, the inventions of Groups I-II do not have the shared same or corresponding special technical feature.

- [6] Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- [7] Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Notice of Possible Rejoinder

[8] The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims.

Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder.

Application/Control Number: 10/565,020

Art Unit: 1656

All claims directed a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David J. Steadman whose telephone number is 571-272-0942. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon to Fri, 7:30 am to 4:00 pm. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kathleen Kerr Bragdon can be reached on 571-272-0931. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/565,020

Art Unit: 1656

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

David J. Steadman, Ph.D.

Primary Examiner Art Unit 1656